Transitionless intra-cavity quantum state transfer in optomechanical systems

Hao Zhang, Xue-Ke Song, Qing Ai, Mei Zhang, and Fu-Guo Deng*
Department of Physics, Applied Optics Beijing Area Major Laboratory, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
(Dated: November 9, 2016)

Quantum state transfer between cavities is crucial for quantum information processing and quantum computation in optomechanical systems. Here, we present the first scheme for the transitionless intra-cavity quantum state transfer based on transitionless quantum driving (TQD) algorithm in optomechanical systems. We also present a physically feasible system for the TQD process based on largely detuned optomechanical cavity. With the Gaussian time-dependence coupling strengths, our scheme can achieve the perfect quantum state transfer with no undesired transition and reduce the dependence of accurately controlling evolution time and interval of coupling strengths. Our computational results show that the TQD process can be accomplished with no need of the mechanical oscillator in its ground state and is also robust to the mechanical dissipation.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 03.67.Pp, 32.80.Qk, 37.90.+j

arXiv:1610.09938v3 [quant-ph] 8 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum state transfer is extremely important in quantum information processing and quantum computation [1]. Near perfect quantum state transfer could be realized via adiabatic process, such as rapid adiabatic passage [2] and the stimulated Raman adiabatic passage technique [3] for two-level and three-level quantum systems, respectively. According to the adiabatic theorem [4], if the state of a quantum system remains non-degenerate and starts in one of the instantaneous eigenstates, it will evolve along this initial state all the time. This process requires the evolution slow enough and it is described by a small parameter ε. Therefore the transition amplitude is very small with the order $exp(-constant/\varepsilon)$ [5–9]. This transition will lead to a certain probability of qubit error in quantum state engineering. In order to achieve the same final state with transitionless process, there are two potentially equivalent approaches to achieve this goal, i.e., Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant-based inverse engineering [10–12, 14, 26] and transitionless quantum driving (TQD) [15–21].

In recent years, many theoretical schemes have been proposed on transitionless process [22–36]. For example, Chen and Muga [22] presented the fast population transfer in three-level systems by invariant-based inverse engineering in 2012. Ibáñez *et al.* [23] proposed the multiple Schrödinger dynamics (MSDs) method to design alternative and feasible experimental routes for operations in shortcuts to adiabaticity. In 2013, Ibáñez *et al.* [25] presented a scheme to improve shortcuts to adiabaticity by iterative interaction pictures. In 2014, Martínez-Garaot *et al.* [27] made a shortcut to adiabaticity in three-level systems via Lie transforms. Giannelli and Arimondo [29] presented a work which determines the corrections to the STIRAP pulses required to produce a super-adiabatic transfer in a three-level system. Kiely and Ruschhaupt [30] put forward the population transfer schemes in two- and three-level quantum systems with fast and stable control. In 2015, Santos and Sarandy [31] proposed a general shortcut to controlled adiabatic evolutions through simple time-independent counter-diabatic assistant Hamiltonians. Liang et al. [32] constructed shortcuts to the adiabatic passage for a multiqubit controlled-phase gate. In 2016, Song *et al.* [33, 35] proposed the shortcuts to adiabatic holonomic quantum computation with TQD algorithm and physically feasible three-level transitionless quantum driving with MSDs. Due to the simple calculation process and easy to implement in practice, some experimental achievements were demonstrated based on TQD in different systems [37–40]. For instance, in 2012, Bason *et al.* [37] experimentally implemented high-fidelity quantum driving protocols on Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices. In 2013, Zhang *et al.* [38] experimentally realized the assisted quantum adiabatic passage in the electron spin of a single nitrogen-vacancy center in diamond. Recently, Du et al. [39] demonstrated the stimulated Raman shortcut-to-adiabatic passage with cold atoms in experiment. An et al. [40] experimentally implemented a shortcut to the adiabatic transport of a trapped ion in phase space.

Optomechanical system is a newly-developing solid-state system for studying quantum optics and quantum information processing in last decade [41]. The typical setup for optomechanical systems is composed of a mechanical resonator and an optical or microwave cavity. Many fundamental researches have been studied in optomechanical systems, such as the ground state cooling for a mechanical resonator [42–46], the observation of strong coupling effects [47], and optomechanically induced transparency [48–51]. As a crucial step for quantum information processing and quantum state engineering, quantum state transfer has attracted much attention in optomechanical systems. Optomechanical systems could make the quantum state transfer between cavities with different wavelengths feasible [52–60] for the

*Corresponding author: fgdeng@bnu.edu.cn

realizable coupling between diverse electromagnetic cavities and a mechanical resonator. In 2010, Tian and Wang [52] proposed the scheme to realize optical wavelength conversion of quantum states in the optomechanical system. At the same year, Stannigel *et al.* [53] presented a new optomechanical transducers for long-distance quantum communication. In 2011, Fiore *et al.* [54] demonstrated experimentally the storage of optical information as a mechanical excitation in a silica optomechanical resonator. In 2012, Tian [56] proposed the adiabatic quantum state transfer with high fidelity and pulse transmission scheme in optomechanical systems. Simultaneously, Wang and Clerk [57] revisited the problem of using a mechanical resonator to perform the intra-cavity transfer of a quantum state by double swap, adiabatic and itinerant state transfer protocol. The unique advantages of double swap and adiabatic scheme are transitionless and robust to the mechanical dissipation, respectively. The quantum state transfer scheme which can combine the advantages of those two processes is a very meaningful task. Due to optomechanical system can be constructed easily to hybrid structure combined with another system, such as superconducting circuit and spin system. Therefore one can transfer intra-cavity state between different wavelengths and make some applications, such as reversible optical-to-microwave quantum interface [58] and microwave quantum illumination [60]. Therefore the quantum state transfer in optomechanical system has big potential in quantum information processing and quantum computation with unique advantage of scalability.

In this article, we propose the first scheme to achieve the transitionless intra-cavity quantum state transfer based on TQD in optomechanical systems. Our scheme holds the advantages in transitionless, the low dependence of accurately controlling evolution time and being robust to the mechanical dissipation. Our scheme maybe has potential applications in quantum information processing and quantum state engineering, such as reversible frequency conversion, quantum logic gates, and quantum state generation.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we introduce the adiabatic quantum state transfer process in optomechanical systems. In Sec. III, we derive the effective M matrix based on TQD algorithm in Heisenberg picture and analyze the result of quantum state transfer in different processes. In Sec. IV, we introduce a new physically feasible interaction to realize the TQD quantum state transfer in optomechanical system. In Sec. V, a discussion and a summary are given.

II. ADIABATIC INTRA-CAVITY STATE TRANSFER IN OPTOMECHANICAL SYSTEMS

We consider an optomechanical system shown in Fig. 1(a), which is composed of two cavity modes coupled to each other via optomechanical forces. After the



FIG. 1: (a) Schematic diagram for the optomechanical system. (b) Schematic diagram for the coupling of adiabatic (blue solid-line arrows) and effective coupling Hamiltonian based on TQD algorithm (red dash-line arrow).

TABLE I: Eigenvalues and corresponding eigenmodes of the matrix $M(t)$.

Eigenvalues	Eigenmodes
$\lambda_1 = 0$	$\psi_1 = [-g_2/g_0, 0, g_1/g_0]^T$
$\lambda_2 = -g_0$	$\psi_2 = [g_1/g_0, -1, g_2/g_0]^T/\sqrt{2}$
$\lambda_3 = g_0$	$\psi_3 = [g_1/g_0, 1, g_2/g_0]^T/\sqrt{2}$

standard linearization procedure, the Hamiltonian of the system is given by ($\hbar = 1$) [44, 56, 57]

$$H = \omega_m b^\dagger b + \sum_{i=1,2} \Delta_i a_i^\dagger a_i + g_i(a_i^\dagger + a_i)(b + b^\dagger), \quad (1)$$

where $a_i(a_i^\dagger)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $b(b^\dagger)$ are the annihilation (creation) operator for the i-th cavity mode and the mechanical mode, respectively. ω_m is the mechanical frequency. $\Delta_i = \omega_{di} - \omega_i$ and $g_i = g_{0i}\sqrt{n_i}$ are the laser detuning and the effective linear coupling strength, respectively. g_{0i} and n_i are the single-photon optomechanical coupling rate and intracavity photon number induced by the driving field, respectively. We consider that both cavity modes are driven near their red sidebands. In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian becomes (under the rotating-wave approximation) [56, 57, 59]

$$H = \sum_{i=1,2} \delta_i a_i^\dagger a_i + g_i(a_i^\dagger b_m + b_m^\dagger a_i), \quad (2)$$

where $\delta_i = -\Delta_i - \omega_m$. Therefore the Heisenberg equation of the system can be derived with

$$i d\vec{v}(t)/dt = M(t)\vec{v}(t), \quad (3)$$

where the vector operator $\vec{v}(t) = [a_1(t), b_m(t), a_2(t)]^T$, and the matrix $M(t)$ is expressed as

$$M(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & g_1(t) & 0 \\ g_1(t) & 0 & g_2(t) \\ 0 & g_2(t) & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Under the condition that $\delta_i = 0$, one can get the eigenvalues and eigenmodes of the matrix $M(t)$, shown in TABLE

I. The eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$ of the matrix $M(t)$ with eigenmode $\psi_1 = [-g_2, 0, g_1]^T/g_0$ is a mechanical dark mode that only involves the cavity modes. The adiabatic intra-cavity quantum state transfer scheme proposed by Tian [56] is divided into three steps. Shown in Fig. 1(b), in step 1, one first stores the quantum state in mode a_1. The two other modes are separable from mode a_1 in arbitrary single particle states. $g_1(0) = 0$ and $g_2(0)$ start with a large negative value. Therefore, the initial state is $\alpha(0)_1 = a_1(0)$. Step 2, one adiabatically decreases $g_2(t)$ to zero at the end of the process. $g_1(t)$ is adiabatically increased from zero to a large positive value. The time of this adiabatical process must satisfy the condition that $T \gg 1/g_0$. Step 3, due to the whole process is preserved in the mechanical dark mode, one gets the state with $\alpha(T)_1 = a_2(T)$. According to the Heisenberg equation, $a_2(T) = a_1(0)$. The result indicates that the initial mode a_1 has been transferred to mode a_2 successfully. If one photon in cavity 1, one can transfer it to cavity 2 via an adiabatic process.

Here we choose the Gaussian time-dependence coupling strengths whih are expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= 3 * exp[-(t - 2.4 + \tau)^2], \\ g_2(t) &= -3 * exp[-(t - 2.4)^2], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the coefficients τ represents the deviation of the time interval between two coupling strengths. As the model could be applied to a wide range of systems, we choose the arbitrary units for the parameters. We fix the coupling strength g_2 all the time and adjust the time interval by changing τ in g_1. According to our computational result, the time interval designed with $\tau = -0.95$ satisfy the adiabatic condition very well, and one can get a perfect intra-cavity quantum state transfer. We perform the population with respect to time based on adiabatic process in Fig. 2. The initial state is $|100\rangle$ which indicates that there are just one photon in cavity 1, and no photons in cavity 2, and the mechanical oscillator in the ground state.



FIG. 2: Perfect adiabatic quantum state transfer with Gaussian coupling. (a) Diagram for the two Gaussian couplings. (b) The population transfer in the adiabatic process.

III. INTRA-CAVITY STATE TRANSFER BASED ON TQD ALGORITHM

For universality, firstly we consider a quantum system with an arbitrary time-dependent Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0(t)$. The dynamical process described by the Schrödinger equation is given by

$$\hat{H}_0(t)|n(t)\rangle = E_n(t)|n(t)\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $|n(t)\rangle$ and $E_n(t)$ are the instantaneous eigenstate and the eigenenergies of $\hat{H}_0(t)$, respectively. According to the adiabatic approximation [4], the dynamical evolution of states driven by $\hat{H}_0(t)$ could be expressed with

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = exp\{-i\int_0^t dt' E_n(t') - \int_0^t dt' \langle n(t')|\dot{n}(t')\rangle\}|n(t)\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Now, we seek a new Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ based on the reverse engineering approach to satisfy the Schrödinger equation

$$i|\dot{\psi}_n(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t)|\psi_n(t)\rangle. \tag{8}$$

Any time-dependent unitary operator $\hat{U}(t)$ is also given by

$$i\dot{\hat{U}}(t) = \hat{H}(t)\hat{U}(t), \tag{9}$$

and

$$\hat{H}(t) = i\dot{\hat{U}}(t)\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t). \tag{10}$$

In order to guarantee no transition between the eigenstates of $\hat{H}_0(t)$ for all time, one should assure that any time-dependent unitary operator $\hat{U}(t)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(t) = \sum_n exp\{-i\int_0^t dt' E_n(t') - \int_0^t dt' \langle n(t')|\dot{n}(t')\rangle\} \\ \times |n(t)\rangle\langle n(0)|. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

According to Eq. (10), the new Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H}(t) = \sum_n |n\rangle E_n \langle n| + i\sum_n (|\dot{n}\rangle\langle n| - \langle n|\dot{n}\rangle|n\rangle\langle n|). \tag{12}$$

One can find infinitely many Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ which differ from each other only by phases. We disregard the phase factors [26, 34] and give the simplest Hamiltonian is derived with [18]

$$\hat{H}(t) = i\sum_n |\dot{n}\rangle\langle n|. \tag{13}$$

According to the process introduced before, we calculate the matrix $M(t)$ driving the evolution of cavity and

mechanical modes in Heisenberg picture. Analogy to Eq. (9), we can get the time-dependent unitary operator with

$$i\dot{U}(t) = M(t)U(t). \tag{14}$$

One can solve the $M(t)$ matrix via the equation given by

$$M(t) = i[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t)]U^{\dagger}(t) = i\sum_{n}|\frac{\partial n(t)}{\partial t}\rangle\langle n(t)|, \tag{15}$$

where $U(t) = \sum|n(t)\rangle\langle n(0)|$. Substitute the all eigenmodes into Eq. (15), one can get a new matrix $M(t)$ given by

$$M(t) = i\sum_{n=1}^{3}\dot{\psi_n}\psi_n^{\dagger} = i\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -G \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where $G = (g_1\dot{g_2} - \dot{g_1}g_2)/g_0^2$. The result of the matrix $M(t)$ indicates that there should be a direct transition between cavities 1 and 2, as shown in Fig. 1(b) (the red dash-line transition).

IV. EFFECTIVE MATRIX M BASED ON INTERACTION IN A LARGELY DETUNED OPTOMECHANICAL CAVITY

We present a physically feasible scheme based on largely detuned interaction to realize the transitionless quantum state transfer in same optomechanical system. The new interaction mechanism protects the photon-photon interactions from mechanical dissipations [59]. In order to distinguish with the coupling strength of adiabatic process, we rewrite the Hamiltonian with different letters in the interaction picture as

$$H = \sum_{i=1,2}\delta_i' a_i^{\dagger}a_i + G_i(a_i^{\dagger}b_m + b_m^{\dagger}a_i). \tag{17}$$

With the condition $\delta_i' \gg G_i$, the large energy offsets suppress the transitions between the optical system and the mechanical oscillator [59]. Hence, one can adiabatically eliminate the mechanical mode b in Eq. (17) and obtain the effective beam-splitter-like Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1,2}(\delta_i' + \Omega_i)a_i^{\dagger}a_i + \Omega(a_1^{\dagger}a_2 + a_2^{\dagger}a_1), \tag{18}$$

where $\Omega_i = G_i^2/\delta_i$ and $\Omega = G_1G_2(\delta_1^{-1} + \delta_2^{-1})/2$. We set $\delta_1' + \Omega_1 = \delta_2' + \Omega_2$ and $\delta' = \delta_1' = \delta_2'$. In the new interaction picture under the Hamiltonian $H_0 = \sum_{i=1,2}(\delta_i' + \Omega_i)a_i^{\dagger}a_i$, one can derive the matrix $M(t)$ in the Heisenberg picture with

$$M'(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{G_1G_2}{\delta'} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{G_1G_2}{\delta'} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

The effective matrix $M'(t)$ shown in Eq. (19) is equivalent to the M shown in Eq. (16) derived by the TQD algorithm, when

$$\frac{G_1G_2}{\delta'} = G = \frac{g_1\dot{g_2} - \dot{g_1}g_2}{g_0^2}. \tag{20}$$

Hence, we can design the coupling strength G_i according to Eq. (20) and choose the Gaussian coupling functions in adiabatic process. Therefore, $G_1G_2 = \delta'G'$.

The effective coupling strength G is not a typical Gaussian function. In order to simplify the operation in experiment, we choose a new Gaussian coupling strength G' to replace G. The form of $G' = -\tau * exp(-\alpha(t - 2.4 + \frac{\tau}{2})^2)$ could be speculated reasonably via incomplete induction shown in Fig. 3. We choose three kinds of time interval $\tau_1 = -0.5$, $\tau_2 = -0.95$, and $\tau_3 = -1.5$ in Fig. 3 (a), (b), and (c), respectively. One can find that all the figures satisfy the relationships $-\tau = x_{g2} - x_{g1} = y_G$ and $x_G(y_{max}) = 2.4 - \frac{\tau}{2}$. Therefore we speculate that the expression of $G' = -\tau * exp(-\alpha(t - 2.4 + \frac{\tau}{2})^2)$, where α is used to fit G. We choose $\tau_2 = -0.95$ and $\alpha = 1.1$ and plot the curves of G and G' in Fig. 3 (d).



FIG. 3: Simulation of new Gaussian coupling strength for TQD quantum state transfer. (a) $\tau_1 = -0.5$. (b) $\tau_2 = -0.95$. (c) $\tau_3 = -1.5$. (d) Coupling strength curves for G and G'. The parameters are set with $\tau_2 = -0.95$ and $\alpha = 1.1$.

The other parameters should be chosen to satisfy the large detuning condition $\delta' \gg G_i$ and $\delta_1' + \Omega_1 = \delta_2' + \Omega_2$. Therefore, we set $\delta' = 60$. For convenience, we choose $G_1 = G_2 = \sqrt{\delta'G'} \approx 7.55 * exp[-0.55(t - 2.875)^2]$. Therefore $\delta'/G_{i-max} \approx 8$. With the parameters given before, we plot the evolution of photon and phonon in Fig. 4(a) by using Hamiltonian in Eq. (17). We find that the Fock state of cavity 1 is transferred perfectly to cavity 2 via the TQD process. The result indicates that the perfect quantum state transfer could be accomplished with the new

Gaussian coupling G'. The phonon number is suppressed in the whole process and the maximum value is smaller than 0.02 due to the large detuning interaction. Under the large detuning, the population of phonon cannot exist steadily. So after the state of cavity 1 is transferred to phonon, it will be transferred to cavity 2 rapidly. From Fig. 4(b), one can find the maximal average phonon number is inversely proportional to the proportion between detuning and the maximal coupling strength. This relationship indicates the reasonability of Eq. (18) by adiabatic elimination.



FIG. 4: (a) Simulation of the transitionless quantum state transfer in largely detuned optomechanical system. The population of phonon is amplified insert. (b) Variation of the maximal average phonon number with detuning. The small figure is variation of the maximal average phonon number relative to proportion between detuning and the maximal coupling strength.



FIG. 5: The influence on quantum state transfer caused by time interval of two coupling strength. (a) G_1 begins earlier than G_2 with $\triangle t = 0.46$. (b) The corresponding transitionless quantum state with time interval $\triangle t = 0.46$. (c) G_2 begins earlier than G_1 with $\triangle t = -0.46$. (d) The corresponding transitionless quantum state transfer with time interval $\triangle t = -0.46$.

V. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

It is hard to accurately control the time interval between two coupling strengths in the actual experiment. Therefore we consider the influence caused by a small deviation of time interval in Fig. 5. The G_1 and G_2 are designed with no time interval before. We tune the time interval with $\triangle t = \pm 0.46$ in Fig. 5 (a) and (c), respectively. The Fig. 5 (b) and (d) are corresponding population evolution. The population of cavity 2 reaches 99% when it keeps stabilize. Fig. 5 indicates that with a small deviation of the time interval, the quantum state transfer also can be accomplished very well. Our scheme is not very sensitive to the deviation of time interval.

When the dissipation of the mechanical oscillator and the decay of the cavity is taken into consideration. The dynamics of the quantum system described by the Lindblad form master equation is expressed by

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = i[\rho, H(t)] + \kappa_1 L[a_1]\rho + \kappa_2 L[a_2]\rho + \gamma_m D[b_m]\rho, \quad (21)$$

where ρ and $H(t)$ are the density matrix and the Hamiltonian of the optomechanical system, respectively. κ_1 and κ_2 represent the decay rates of cavity 1 and 2, respectively. γ_m is the mechanical damping rate. $L[A]\rho = (2A\rho A^\dagger - A^\dagger A\rho - \rho A^\dagger A)/2$. $D[A]\rho = (n_{th}+1)(2A\rho A^\dagger - A^\dagger A\rho - \rho A^\dagger A)/2 + n_{th}(2A^\dagger \rho A - AA^\dagger \rho - \rho AA^\dagger)/2$, where n_{th} is the thermal phonon number of the environment. We choose $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1 = 0.015$, $\gamma_m = 5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $n_{th} = 100$. The final state of the mechanical oscillator do not effect the result which we desire, so we calculate the fidelity with formula $F = \langle 01|tr_m[\rho(t)]|01\rangle$. Here, $|01\rangle$ represents the state which there are zero and one photon in cavity 1 and 2, respectively. $tr_m[\rho(t)]$ is the reduced density matrix by tracing the mechanical oscillator degree of freedom. The fidelity is plotted in Fig. 6(a). The maximal fidelity is 93%. We plot the fidelity influenced by the initial phonon number state and n_{th} in Fig. 6(b). We change the initial phonon number and n_{th} from $|0\rangle \sim |3\rangle$ and $0 \sim 400$, respectively. The maximal fidelity in TQD process just reduces 0.025 (from 0.935 to 0.910). This result indicates that the TQD process can be accomplished with no need the mechanical oscillator in its ground state and it is also robust to the mechanical dissipation.

In the adiabatic quantum state transfer scheme [56, 57], the process based on dark mode which decoupled to mechanical mode is robust to the mechanical dissipation. The advantage of non-adiabatic double swap protocol [57] is transitionless in the evolution process. In order to achieve a perfect state result, usually one needs accurately control the terminate time of coupling. In our scheme, it holds both the advantages in the adiabatic and the double swap schemes, and it is not need the high dependence of accurately controlling the terminate time and interval. Robustness to mechanical dissipation derives from the largely detuned interaction mechanism.

In summary, we have proposed a scheme to realize



FIG. 6: (a) Fidelity of TQD process in present of dissipation in optomechanical system. $n_{th} = 100$. (b) The maximal fidelity change with initial phonon number and n_{th}. Parameters are set with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1 = 0.015$, $\gamma_m = 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

the transitionless quantum state transfer based on the TQD algorithm in optomechanical systems. Also, we have given a physically feasible system with largely detuned interaction. Our scheme can achieve the perfect quantum state transfer with transitionless, the not high dependence of accurately controlling evolution time and sensitiveness to interval between coupling strength, and the robustness to the mechanical dissipation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Jing Qiu and Xiao-Dong Bai for useful discussion. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11474026, No. 11475021, and No. 11505007, and the National Key Basic Research Program of China under Grant No. 2013CB922000.

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000).
[2] N. V. Vitanov, T. Halfmann, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, Laser-induced population transfer by adiabatic passage techniques, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **52**, 763 (2001).
[3] K. Bergmann, H. Theuer, and B. Shore, Coherent population transfer among quantum states of atoms and molecules, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1003 (1998).
[4] M. Born and V. A. Fock Beweis des Adiabatensatzes Z. Phys. **51**, 165 (1928)
[5] L. Landau, Zur Theorie der Energieubertragung II, Phys. Sov. Union **2**, 46 (1932).
[6] C. Zener, Non-adiabatic crossing of energy levels, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **137**, 696 (1932).
[7] E. Majorana, Atomi orientation campo magnetico variable, Nuovo Cimento **9**, 43 (1932).
[8] J. P. Davis and P. Pechukas, Nonadiabatic transitions induced by a time-dependent Hamiltonian in the semiclassical/adiabatic limit: the two-state case, J. Chem. Phys. **64**, 3129 (1976).
[9] J. T. Hwang and P. Pechukas, The adiabatic theorem in the complex plane and the semiclassical calculation of nonadiabatic transition amplitudes, J. Chem. Phys. **67**, 4640 (1977).
[10] H. R. Lewis and W. B. Riesenfeld, An exact quantum theory of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator and of a charged particle in a time-dependent electromagnetic field, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).
[11] J. G. Muga, X. Chen, A. Ruschhaupt, E. Torrontegui, and D. Guéry-Odelin, Frictionless dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensates under fast trap variations, J. Phys. B **42**, 241001 (2009).
[12] X. Chen, E. Torrontegui, and J. G. Muga, Lewis-Riesenfeld invariants and transitionless quantum driving, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 062116 (2011).
[13] E. Torrontegui, S. Ibáñez, S. Martínez-Garaot, M. Modugno, A. del Campo, D. Guéry-Odelin, A. Ruschhaupt, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Chapter 2-shortcuts to adiabaticity, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **62**, 117 (2013).
[14] Y. H. Chen, Y. Xia, Q. Q. Chen, and J. Song, Efficient shortcuts to adiabatic passage for fast population transfer in multiparticle systems, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 033856 (2014).
[15] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, Adiabatic population transfer with control fields, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 9937 (2003).
[16] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, Assisted adiabatic passage revisited, J. Phys. Chem. B **109**, 6838 (2005).
[17] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, On the consistency, extremal, and global properties of counterdiabatic fields, J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 154111 (2008).
[18] M. V. Berry, Transitionless quantum driving, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 365303 (2009).
[19] X. Chen, I. Lizuain, A. Ruschhaupt, D. Guéry-Odelin, and J. G. Muga, Fast optimal frictionless atom cooling in harmonic traps: shortcut to adiabaticity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 123003 (2010).
[20] A. del Campo, Shortcuts to adiabaticity by counterdiabatic driving, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 100502 (2013).
[21] M. Moliner and P. Schmitteckert, Adiabatic Tracking of a State: A new route to nonequilibrium physics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 120602 (2013).
[22] X. Chen and J. G. Muga, Engineering of fast population transfer in three-level systems, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 033405 (2012).
[23] S. Ibáñez, X. Chen, E. Torrontegui, J. G. Muga, and A. Ruschhaupt, Multiple Schrödinger pictures and dynamics in shortcuts to adiabaticity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 100403 (2012).
[24] S. Y. Tseng and X. Chen, Engineering of fast mode conversion in multimode waveguides, Opt. Lett. **37**, 5118 (2012).
[25] S. Ibáñez, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Improving shortcuts to adiabaticity by iterative interaction pictures, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 043402 (2013).
[26] E. Torrontegui, S. Ibáñez, S. Martínez-Garaot, M. Modugno, A. del Campo, D. Guéry-Odelin, A. Ruschhaupt,

X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Shortcuts to adiabaticity, Adv. Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys. **62**, 117 (2013).
[27] S. Martínez-Garaot, E. Torrontegui, X. Chen, and J. G. Muga, Shortcuts to adiabaticity in three-level systems using Lie transforms, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053408 (2014).
[28] M. Lu, Y. Xia, L. T. Shen, J. Song, and N. B. An, Shortcuts to adiabatic passage for population transfer and maximum entanglement creation between two atoms in a cavity, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012326 (2014).
[29] L. Giannelli and E. Arimondo, Three-level superadiabatic quantum driving, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 033419 (2014).
[30] A. Kiely and A. Ruschhaupt, Inhibiting unwanted transitions in population transfer in two- and three-level quantum systems, J. Phys. B **47**, 115501 (2014).
[31] A. C. Santos and M. S. Sarandy, Superadiabatic controlled evolutions and universal quantum computation, Sci. Rep. **5**, 15775 (2015).
[32] Y. Liang, Q. C. Wu, S. L. Su, X. Ji, and S. Zhang, Shortcuts to adiabatic passage for multiqubit controlled-phase gate, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 032304 (2015)
[33] X. K. Song, H. Zhang, Q. Ai, J. Qiu, and F. G. Deng, Shortcuts to adiabatic holonomic quantum computation in decoherence-free subspace with transitionless quantum driving algorithm, New J. Phys. **18** 023001 (2016).
[34] A. C. Santos, R. D. Silva and M. S. Sarandy, Shortcut to adiabatic gate teleportation, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 012311 (2016).
[35] X. K. Song, Q. Ai, J. Qiu, and F. G. Deng, Physically feasible three-level transitionless quantum driving with multiple Schrödinger dynamics, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 052324 (2016).
[36] M. Okuyama and K. Takahashi, From classical nonlinear integrable systems to quantum shortcuts to adiabaticity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 070401 (2016).
[37] M. G. Bason, M. Viteau, N. Malossi, P. Huillery, E. Arimondo, D. Ciampini, R. Fazio, V. Giovannetti, R. Mannella, and O. Morsch, High-fidelity quantum driving, Nat. Phys. **8**, 147 (2012).
[38] J. Zhang, J. H. Shim, I. Niemeyer, T. Taniguchi, T. Teraji, H. Abe, S. Onoda, T. Yamamoto, T. Ohshima, J. Isoya, and D. Suter, Experimental implementation of assisted quantum adiabatic passage in a single spin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 240501 (2013).
[39] Y. X. Du, Z. T. Liang, Y. C. Li, X. X. Yue, Q. X. Lv, W. Huang, X. Chen, H. Yan, and S. L. Zhu, Experimental realization of stimulated Raman shortcut-to-adiabatic passage with cold atoms, Nat. Commun. **7**, 12479 (2016).
[40] S. An, D. Lv, A. del Campo and K. Kim, Shortcuts to adiabaticity by counterdiabatic driving for trapped-ion displacement in phase space, Nat. Commun. **7**, 12999 (2016).
[41] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, Cavity optomechanics, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391 (2014).
[42] F. Marquardt, J. P. Chen, A. A. Clerk, and S. M. Girvin, Quantum theory of cavity-assisted sideband cooling of mechanical motion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 093902 (2007).
[43] I. Wilson-Rae, N. Nooshi, W. Zwerger, and T. J. Kippenberg, Theory of ground state cooling of a mechanical oscillator using dynamical backaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 093901 (2007).
[44] C. Genes, D. Vitali, P. Tombesi, S. Gigan, and M. Aspelmeyer, Ground-state cooling of a micromechanical oscillator: Comparing cold damping and cavity-assisted cooling schemes, Phys. Rev. A 77, 033804 (2008).
[45] J. D. Teufel, T. Donner, D. Li, J.W. Harlow, M. S. Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, K.W. Lehnert, and R.W. Simmonds, Sideband cooling of micromechanical motion to the quantum ground state, Nature (London) **475**, 359 (2011).
[46] J. Chan, T. P. M. Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Gröblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, Laser cooling of a nanomechanical oscillator into its quantum ground state, Nature (London) **478**, 89 (2011).
[47] J. D. Teufel, D. Li, M. S. Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, and R.W. Simmonds, Circuit cavity electromechanics in the strong-coupling regime, Nature (London) **471**, 204 (2011).
[48] G. S. Agarwal and S. Huang, Electromagnetically induced transparency in mechanical effects of light, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 041803(R) (2010).
[49] S. Weis, R. Riviere, S. Deleglise, E. Gavartin, O. Arcizet, A. Schliesser, and T. J. Kippenberg, Optomechanically induced transparency, Science **330**, 1520 (2010).
[50] A. H. Safavi-Naeini, T. P. M. Alegre, J. Chan, M. Eichenfield, M. Winger, Q. Lin, J. T. Hill, D. E. Chang, and O. Painter, Electromagnetically induced transparency and slow light with optomechanics, Nature (London) **472**, 69 (2011).
[51] C. Dong, V. Fiore, M. C. Kuzyk, and H. Wang, Optomechanical dark mode, Science **338**, 1609 (2012).
[52] L. Tian and H. Wang, Optical wavelength conversion of quantum states with optomechanics, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 053806 (2010).
[53] K. Stannigel, P. Rabl, A. S. Sørensen, P. Zoller, and M. D. Lukin, Optomechanical transducers for long-distance quantum communication, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 220501 (2010).
[54] V. Fiore, Y. Yang, M. C. Kuzyk, R. Barbour, L. Tian, and H. Wang, Storing optical information as a mechanical excitation in a silica optomechanical resonator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 133601 (2011).
[55] Sh. Barzanjeh, D. Vitali, P. Tombesi, and G. J. Milburn, Entangling optical and microwave cavity modes by means of a nanomechanical resonator, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042342 (2011).
[56] L. Tian, Adiabatic state conversion and pulse transmission in optomechanical systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 153604 (2012).
[57] Y. D. Wang and A. A. Clerk, Using interference for high fidelity quantum state transfer in optomechanics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 153603 (2012).
[58] Sh. Barzanjeh, M. Abdi, G. J. Milburn, P. Tombesi, and D. Vitali, Reversible optical-to-microwave quantum interface, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 130503 (2012).
[59] H. K. Li, X. X. Ren, Y. C. Liu, and Y. F. Xiao, Photon-photon interactions in a largely detuned optomechanical cavity, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 053850 (2013).
[60] Sh. Barzanjeh, S. Guha, C. Weedbrook, D. Vitali, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Pirandola, Microwave quantum illumination, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 080503 (2015).